Deadwood stocks increase with selective logging and large tree frequency in Gabon

BEN S. CARLSON1, SALLY E. KOERNER1, VINCENT P. MEDJIBE1,2, LEE J. T. WHITE2,3,4 and JOHN R. POULSEN1

1Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, PO Box 90328, Durham, NC 27708, USA, 2Agence Nationale des Parcs Nationaux, Batterie IV, Libreville BP 20379, Gabon, 3Institut de Recherche en Ecologie Tropicale, Libreville BP 1354, Gabon, 4African Forest Ecology Group, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK

Abstract

Deadwood is a major component of aboveground biomass (AGB) in tropical forests and is important as habitat and for nutrient cycling and carbon storage. With deforestation and degradation taking place throughout the tropics, improved understanding of the magnitude and spatial variation in deadwood is vital for the development of regional and global carbon budgets. However, this potentially important carbon pool is poorly quantified in Afrotropical forests and the regional drivers of deadwood stocks are unknown. In the first large-scale study of deadwood in Central Africa, we quantified stocks in 47 forest sites across Gabon and evaluated the effects of disturbance (logging), forest structure variables (live AGB, wood density, abundance of large trees), and abiotic variables (temperature, precipitation, seasonality). Average deadwood stocks (measured as necromass, the biomass of deadwood) were 65 Mg ha<sup>–1</sup> or 23% of live AGB. Deadwood stocks varied spatially with disturbance and forest structure, but not abiotic variables. Deadwood stocks increased significantly with logging (+38 Mg ha<sup>–1</sup>) and the abundance of large trees (+2.4 Mg ha<sup>–1</sup> for every tree >60 cm dbh). Gabon holds 0.74 Pg C, or 21% of total aboveground carbon in deadwood, a threefold increase over previous estimates. Importantly, deadwood densities in Gabon are comparable to those in the Neotropics and respond similarly to logging, but represent a lower proportion of live AGB (median of 18% in Gabon compared to 26% in the Neotropics). In forest carbon accounting, necromass is often assumed to be a constant proportion (9%) of biomass, but in humid tropical forests this ratio varies from 2% in undisturbed forest to 300% in logged forest. Because logging significantly increases the deadwood carbon pool, estimates of tropical forest carbon should at a minimum use different ratios for logged (mean of 30%) and unlogged forests (mean of 18%).
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Introduction

Tropical forests store over half the world’s forest carbon and are the largest terrestrial source and sink of atmospheric carbon (Dixon et al., 1994; Pan et al., 2011). The disproportionate role tropical forests play in the global carbon cycle and increasing concern over global climate change has intensified research into the stocks and fluxes of tropical forest carbon (Gibbs et al., 2007). Forest carbon is stored in five pools: (i) aboveground live biomass (AGB, biomass with diameter ≥10 cm); (ii) belowground live biomass; (iii) deadwood (also known as coarse woody debris; dead boles or branches ≥10 cm in diameter); (iv) fine woody debris (branches <10 cm in diameter); and (v) soil carbon (IPCC, 2003). Of these five pools, AGB is the most visible, most easily measured, and most studied (e.g., Brown & Gaston, 1996; Saatchi et al., 2011; Baccini et al., 2012). While knowledge of the environmental and biotic determinants of AGB is advancing rapidly (Lewis et al., 2009; Asner et al., 2010; Larjavaara & Muller-Landau, 2012; Burton et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2016), knowledge of the stocks, geographic distribution, and drivers of deadwood has progressed more slowly, despite the importance of deadwood to the tropical forest carbon cycle and the critical roles it serves in forest ecosystems (Harmon et al., 1986) by providing nourishment for saproxylic organisms (Stokland et al., 2012) and habitat for many vertebrate and invertebrate species (McGee et al., 1999; Warren & Bradford, 2012).

Most estimates of tropical forest carbon exclude deadwood (Saatchi et al., 2011; Baccini et al., 2012), or assume that it makes up a constant proportion of AGB (Houghton et al., 2001; Malhi et al., 2006; Saatchi et al., 2007; Lewis et al., 2009). The ratio of necromass – the biomass of deadwood – to biomass (N/AGB) varies from 2% in undisturbed forest to 300% in heavily disturbed forest (Palace et al., 2012), but estimates of total carbon stocks most often employ a constant ratio of 9%, likely oversimplifying and underestimating the role of...
deadwood (Chao et al., 2009). The local carbon balance between the AGB and deadwood pools depends on the rate of carbon capture by trees, the rate of carbon transfer from the AGB to the deadwood pool, and the rate of exit from the deadwood pool. Carbon transfer to the deadwood pool usually occurs with tree mortality, but can also occur when trees shed large branches (Chambers et al., 2001). Exit from the deadwood pool is largely controlled by the decomposition rate, but can also be influenced by the mechanical movement of deadwood due to slope position or hydrology (Gale, 2000; Chao et al., 2008), extraction as fuelwood (Sassen et al., 2015), or forest fires (Osone et al., 2016). Local variation in the rates of carbon transfer and exit creates spatial variation of deadwood stocks and N/AGB.

The principal determinants of deadwood stocks and N/AGB can be classified broadly as disturbance, biotic, and abiotic factors. Natural and anthropogenic disturbances alter deadwood pools by increasing tree mortality above the background rate of senescence. While there are many causes of tree mortality (Phillips & Gentry, 1994), drought (Rice et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 2009), wind (Negrón-Juárez et al., 2010), and logging (Keller et al., 2004; Palace et al., 2007; Pfeifer et al., 2015) are among the most important drivers. Selective logging, in particular, should diminish necromass stocks and N/AGB by removing living trees before they die and augment necromass stocks and N/AGB by generating collateral tree damage and mortality (Medjibe et al., 2011).

Although multiple biotic factors are thought to strongly influence deadwood stocks (e.g., microbial communities and xylophagous fauna), we focus on forest structure variables associated with high density of AGB, including the abundance of large trees (Slik et al., 2013; Bastin et al., 2015) and/or high wood density (Chao et al., 2009). Large pieces of wood with high wood density take longer to decompose than many small, more porous pieces of the same mass; therefore, forests with high AGB have slower wood decomposition rates (Chambers et al., 2000; Chao et al., 2009).

The impact of climatic drivers on deadwood stocks has largely been investigated through studies of the effects of climate on decomposition rates: these studies find that biotic factors are more important than climatic factors, especially at local scales (Weedon et al., 2009; Bradford et al., 2014). Temperature should not strongly affect deadwood stocks because increasing temperatures in the tropics accelerate rates of both productivity and decomposition (Chambers et al., 2000; Raich et al., 2006). On the other hand, precipitation can vary substantially in the tropics and is known to influence AGB (Lewis et al., 2013) and decomposition rates (Progar et al., 2000; but see Chambers et al., 2000). To date, disturbance and forest structure are thought to be more important drivers of tropical deadwood stocks than climate variables.

Deadwood stocks in Central African forests are poorly studied compared to the Neotropics (Palace et al., 2012). Several lines of evidence suggest that deadwood stocks might vary widely between the regions, although the direction of this variation is unknown. Compared to the Neotropics, Central African forests experience lower deforestation rates (Mayaux et al., 1999), less intense selective logging (Malhi et al., 2013), and are typically not affected by cyclones or large blowdowns (Chambers et al., 2012). This less intense disturbance regime might result in relatively small deadwood pools compared to the Neotropics. On the other hand, Central African forests tend to have higher AGB than Neotropical forests and are characterized by lower tree density, greater numbers of large stems, and higher wood density (Lewis et al., 2013; Malhi et al., 2013; Slik et al., 2013). Due to their greater AGB and larger trees, which decay more slowly than small trees, Central African forests might store higher levels of carbon in deadwood and have higher N/AGB than Neotropical forests. Thus, depending on the relative importance of and interactions among deadwood drivers, both deadwood stocks and N/AGB could be higher, lower, or similar in Central African tropical forests compared to Neotropical forests. Understanding the differences or similarities in carbon dynamics between the world’s two largest tropical rainforests is an important component of accurate global carbon monitoring.

The goal of this study was to quantify the stocks and evaluate the drivers of deadwood in Central African forests. With samples from 47 sites in Gabon, we examine the effects of disturbance (selective logging), forest structure variables (AGB, wood density, basal area, and density of large trees), and abiotic variables (annual temperature and precipitation) on deadwood stocks and N/AGB. We compare our findings to the more commonly studied Neotropical forests to identify whether regional differences in disturbance or forest structure affect deadwood stocks and N/AGB. Finally, we provide estimates of nationwide deadwood stocks for Gabon as a means of contributing to national and regional carbon accounting and management.

Materials and methods

Study region

Gabon, located on the western coast of equatorial Africa, is the second most forested tropical country with 88.5% forest cover (Sannier et al., 2016). Average temperature is relatively constant over the year and across the country, with a mean of
25 °C, a high of 26 °C between January and March, and a low of 23 °C between June and August. Mean annual precipitation (MAP) is 1844 mm, but varies seasonally with 80% of precipitation occurring in two rainy seasons between March–May and September–December. MAP varies nearly threefold between the wet coastal forests (3200 mm) and the relatively arid interior (1300 mm). Gabon stores 4 Pg of carbon (C) in above- and belowground biomass, not including deadwood, the second highest carbon density (164 Mg C ha⁻¹) among tropical countries after Malaysia (179 Mg C ha⁻¹; Saatchi et al., 2011). Approximately 54% of Gabon’s forested area is committed to timber production (Laporte et al., 2011). Approximately 54% of Gabon’s forested area is committed to timber production (Laporte et al., 2011). Approximately 54% of Gabon’s forested area is committed to timber production (Laporte et al., 2011). Approximately 54% of Gabon’s forested area is committed to timber production (Laporte et al., 2011).

**Sampling sites**

In 2012, the Government of Gabon initiated a national resource inventory (NRI) to quantify and monitor forest resources, with a focus on forest carbon (unpublished data, Gabon National Resource Inventory). NRI sites consist of a single 1-ha forest plot and four 0.16-ha satellite plots. The sites are located in a systematic, random design that captures the variation in forest structure and composition across the country. In each site, field teams inventoried and measured trees ≥10 cm diameter at breast height (dbh) to estimate site-level AGB. Site-level data on disturbance history (primary, secondary, and logged) and edaphic type (terra firma (nonflooded forest), seasonally flooded forest, or swamp forest) were also recorded. During the establishment of the NRI, we selected 47 of the randomly placed NRI sites to sample deadwood. We used maps of logging concessions, protected areas, infrastructure, and precipitation to choose sites that would represent primary, secondary, and logged forest across the west-to-east rainfall gradient. Within these 47 sites, we further identified 16 sites to sample for wood density and void space, again distributing the sites equally among the disturbance categories and across the precipitation gradient (Fig. 1).

**Deadwood volume**

Necromass is a product of the volume and density of deadwood. Here, we describe methods for estimating volume, and below, we describe methods for estimating density. At each site, we used the line intercept method to quantify total deadwood volume by establishing four 200 m transects according to a ‘pinwheel’ design, in which the transects extend from the corners of the 1 ha plot (sensu Baker et al., 2007; Chao et al., 2008). We chose this design because: (i) the line intercept method can suffer from directional bias of deadwood orientation (Bell et al., 1996), requiring multiple transects per site, and (ii) density estimation involves destructive sampling, which would artificially disturb the long-term monitoring plots. Starting from the southwest corner of the 1 ha plots, we chose a random direction away from the plot, orienting each subsequent transect perpendicular to the previous transect.

Along a total of 36.1 km of transects (Table S3), we quantified total deadwood volume by measuring the diameters of both fallen (deadwood ≥10 cm dbh on the ground that crossed the transect) and standing (deadwood ≥10 cm dbh located ≤10 m from the line transect) deadwood (Warren & Olsen, 1964; Van Wagner, 1968). For partially buried fallen deadwood, we estimated the diameter by calculating the geometric mean of the horizontal and vertical diameters (Chao et al., 2008). Using measurements of the diameters of all fallen deadwood traversed by the transect, we calculated the volume of fallen deadwood per ha (m³ ha⁻¹), $V_f$, following Van Wagner (1968):

$$V_f = \frac{\pi^2 \cdot \sum d_i^2}{8L}$$

where $d_i$ is the measured diameter of each piece of deadwood and $L$ is the length of the transect.

For standing deadwood shorter than 1.37 m, we measured the diameter at the base, $d_b$, and at the top, $d_t$. For standing deadwood taller than 1.37 m, we used the dbh of the stem as $d_b$. To estimate $d_t$, we measured the height of the standing deadwood, $h$, with a hypsometer (a hand-held instrument for measuring height), and applied a taper function from Chambers et al. (2000):

$$d_t = 1.59 d_b (h^{-0.091}).$$

With measurements of both $d_b$ and $d_t$, we calculated the volume (m³) of each standing deadwood, $v_s$, using Smallman’s Formula (Harmon et al., 1986, Eqn 3):

$$v_s = h \left[ \pi (d_b/2)^2 + \pi (d_t/2)^2 \right] / 2.$$

To find the volume of standing deadwood per ha (m³ ha⁻¹), $V_s$, we summed the volume of all standing deadwood per transect and divided by the area of the transect:

$$V_s = \frac{1}{L \times 20} \sum v_s$$

where $v_s$ is the volume of the $r$th standing deadwood, and the width of each belt transect is 20 m.

**Wood density and void space**

We sampled wood density of deadwood in 16 of the 47 sites. For each piece of fallen deadwood encountered, we used a chainsaw to cut a radial section from the wood at the point crossed by the line transect. We subsampled plugs from the section, calculated density per plug by dividing the dry weight of the plug by the volume of the plug, and used the average density of all plugs in the radial section as the wood density of the piece of deadwood. To subsample the radial section, we randomly chose one of eight radii (evenly spaced around the radial section), and using a machete, cut out a rectangular plug every 5 cm from the center to the edge of the radial section along the randomly chosen radius (sensu Keller et al., 2004; Fig. 1a). To estimate the volume of each plug, we measured the height, width, and depth of the plugs in the field. If a plug was not sufficiently rectangular, we measured plug volume using the displacement method (Chave et al., 2006). For wood that was extremely friable, we filled a container of known volume with the material. To measure the
mass of each plug, we oven-dried all plugs at 65°C until subsequent daily weight measurements did not differ by more than 0.5% (Clark et al., 2002).

To adjust wood density for hollow space in deadwood, we estimated the proportion of void space in each radial section, defined as the empty regions in the radial section surrounded by at least 180° of wood (Baker & Chao, 2009). We used digital photos of the radial sections and ImageJ (rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) to measure the total area and void area of each section (Chao et al., 2008). We divided the void space area by the total area of the radial section to derive the proportion of void space for the deadwood sample.

To account for the state of decay, we assigned each piece of fallen and standing deadwood to a decay class of 1–5. Decay class 1 represented newly fallen wood and decay class 5 represented rotten wood (Table S1). The five-decay class system often has low numbers of deadwood pieces in class 1, whereas a three-decay class system yields similar estimates of stocks with better statistical power (Chao et al., 2008). Thus, we aggregated the five-decay class system to a three-decay class system for statistical analysis, using the method suggested by Chao et al. (2008). We combined decay classes one and two, retained decay class three, and combined decay classes four and five.

We calculated mean wood density by edaphic type and decay class to obtain density values that could be applied to all sites. We did not sample wood density for sites in swamp forest (n = 2), but instead used mean density by decay class across all sites. We adjusted mean wood density for each decay class/edaphic type combination by multiplying the

---

**Fig. 1** Location of logged and unlogged sites in Gabon sampled for deadwood and AGB. The number next to each point is the site number (see Tables S3 and S5).

---

mean estimated wood density by the proportion of the radial sections that were not void (also aggregated by decay class/edaphic type; Chao et al., 2008) so that all wood densities are reported as void-adjusted density.

**Deadwood stocks and drivers**

We calculated necromass (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) for each transect and decay class combination by multiplying the volume of deadwood per ha (fallen + standing deadwood) by the wood density for the appropriate decay class and edaphic type combination. We summed the necromass of each decay class within a transect to obtain a transect-level necromass estimate, and then averaged across the transect-level necromass estimates, weighting by the length of the transects, to derive a site-level estimate of necromass. We calculated volume and necromass standard errors according to Keller et al. (2004) and Chao et al. (2008).

To determine the drivers of deadwood in Gabonese forests, we assembled information on disturbance, forest structure, and abiotic variables for each site. As described above, the disturbance history and edaphic type of each site was recorded in the field. We calculated forest structure variables for each site from the core NRI sampling data (unpublished data, Gabon National Resource Inventory). These variables include: aboveground live biomass (AGB), mean AGB per tree (AGB tree), basal area-weighted wood density (WD), density of stems (Stem density), density of stems >60 cm (Large stems), mean tree diameter at breast height (Mean dbh), and mean tree height (Mean height). We chose temperature and precipitation values thought to have the greatest impact on deadwood stocks (for example, we reasoned that temperature in the coldest quarter would limit decomposition rates) and downloaded these values from the BIOCLIM database (Hijmans et al., 2005) at 30 s resolution. These variables included: mean temperature of the coldest quarter (Temp CQ), mean annual precipitation (MAP), and precipitation seasonality (Seasonality; see Table 1).

**Comparison to Neotropical deadwood studies**

We compared Gabon’s deadwood stocks and N/AGB to 24 Neotropical studies (containing necromass estimates for 53 forests) and four Afrotropical studies (with estimates for five forests). Most of these studies (26 studies) came from a recent review of deadwood stocks (Palace et al., 2012), from which we used all studies of moist tropical forest except for two Neotropical moist forest studies that included fire disturbance (Cochrane et al., 1999) or did not provide information on disturbance history (Summers, 1998 cited in Palace et al., 2012). We also included two additional recent studies from African forests (Djomo et al., 2011; Gautam & Pietsch, 2012). For all studies, we examined the original source literature to retrieve necromass estimates and forest type designations (primary, secondary, logged; Table S2).

**National estimate of deadwood stocks**

To derive an estimate of nationwide deadwood stocks, we multiplied the area of logged forest and unlogged forest by estimates of their average necromasses. Several estimates of total forested area in Gabon exist, ranging from 211 260 to 236 335 km\(^2\) (Laporte et al., 2007, FAO, 2010, 2015; OFAC, 2014; Sannier et al., 2016). No estimates exist for total logged forested area (including selectively logged forest area); however, Laporte et al. (2007) report a forested area of 211 260 km\(^2\), of which 54% is logging concessions. Therefore, we use these values, treating forest concessions as logged forest, to estimate deadwood stocks at the national scale. To convert estimates of necromass to carbon, we assumed that 50% of necromass is made up of carbon (Elias & Potvin, 2003).

**Statistical analysis**

The distributions of deadwood volume and necromass were slightly skewed, but we present all the above calculations of deadwood volume, necromass, and N/AGB as mean values (rather than median values) and use mean values in our statistical analysis to be consistent with previous studies (e.g., Chao et al., 2008; Djomo et al., 2011; Pfeifer et al., 2015). Using mean values did not change the inference of any of our statistics, and we present the mean and median for all summary values (e.g., total deadwood stocks), denoting the median in subscript (e.g., deadwood\(_{\text{median}}\)).

**Table 1** Mean and range of abiotic and forest structure variables used to explain the variation in necromass and ratio of necromass to AGB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp CQ</td>
<td>Mean temperature coldest quarter (°C)</td>
<td>23.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>21.3–24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>Mean annual precipitation (mm yr(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1871.8 (371.7)</td>
<td>1361.0–3122.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonality</td>
<td>Precipitation seasonality (CV)</td>
<td>64.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>49.0–77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGB</td>
<td>Aboveground live biomass (Mg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>293.7 (119.9)</td>
<td>45.8–574.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGB tree</td>
<td>Mean AGB per tree (Mg)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.2–1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>Basal area-weighted live wood density (g cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.4–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem density</td>
<td>Stem density (stems ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>397.9 (103.6)</td>
<td>111.6–598.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large stems</td>
<td>Density of stems &gt; 60 cm (stems ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td>16.2 (7.7)</td>
<td>1.8–31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dbh</td>
<td>Mean tree dbh (cm)</td>
<td>23.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>19.1–29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean height</td>
<td>Mean tree height (m)</td>
<td>20.2 (5.1)</td>
<td>8.5–31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbance</td>
<td>Presence of logging (logged, unlogged)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We used ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests to evaluate statistical differences in mean volume, necromass, and N/AGB across sites with different edaphic types and disturbance histories and to assess whether mean necromass and N/AGB vary between the Afrotropics and the Neotropics. Likewise, we used ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests to examine differences in mean wood density by decay class, edaphic type, and disturbance history. To evaluate the impact of forest structure and abiotic variables on deadwood stocks, we performed bivariate linear regressions of each variable on necromass and on N/AGB. We then built multiple regression models with all variables, including logging history, to predict necromass and N/AGB. We used a backward, stepwise approach to reduce the full model, selecting the best model on the basis of the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) score. We examined plots of model residuals to assess the assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity and overall model fit. All calculations and statistical analyses were performed in R (R Core Team 2015).

Results

Deadwood volume

We estimated deadwood stocks along 36.1 km of transects at a total of 47 sites (15 in primary forest, 13 in secondary forest, 19 in logged forest; Table S3). We measured deadwood volume at all sites for a total of 1360 fallen and 1090 standing pieces of deadwood. Mean deadwood volume in logged forests (224 m$^3$/ha) was significantly higher than in unlogged forests (125 m$^3$/ha), but did not vary due to the edaphic type of the site (two-way ANOVA; logging: $F_{1,43} = 7.536, P = 0.009$; edaphic type: $F_{2,43} = 1.429, P = 0.251$).

Wood density and void space

We measured deadwood void space and density at 16 sites, extracting 1131 wood samples from 416 pieces of deadwood in 11 terra firma forest sites and five seasonally flooded forest sites (Table S4). There was no significant difference in wood density between logged and unlogged forest while controlling for decay class and edaphic type. Mean wood density was significantly higher in terra firma forest than seasonally flooded forest, indicating that wood density estimates should be stratified by edaphic type (three-way ANOVA; logging: $F_{1,411} = 2.989, P = 0.085$; edaphic type: $F_{1,411} = 18.679, P < 0.001$; decay class: $F_{2,411} = 19.803, P < 0.001$). Void space made up a very small proportion of wood volume, with radial section void area ranging from 0.4% - 2.4% of total area ($n = 370$; Table S4).

Deadwood stocks and drivers

Mean necromass for all sites was 65 Mg ha$^{-1}$ (necromass$_{\text{median}} = 57$ Mg ha$^{-1}$, range = 0.06–254 Mg ha$^{-1}$; see Table S5 for the necromass and volume of each plot, and Table S6 for necromass summarized by decay class, edaphic type, and logging). Mean N/AGB for all sites was 23% (N/AGB$_{\text{median}} = 18$%, range = 0.08–105%). We examined 11 drivers of variation in necromass and N/AGB (Table 1; Figs 2–4). Both necromass and N/AGB were most strongly driven by logging history. Mean necromass was significantly higher in logged sites than in primary forest sites and marginally higher in logged sites than in secondary forest sites (ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test; forest type:

![Box plots](https://example.com/box_plot.png)

Fig. 2 Box plots comparing (a) necromass (Mg ha$^{-1}$) and (b) the ratio of necromass to AGB (N/AGB) for unlogged and logged forests in Gabon. Necromass and N/AGB are significantly higher in logged forest than unlogged forest (Necromass: $t = -2.830$, df = 45, $P = 0.007$; N/AGB: $t = -2.233$, df = 45, $P = 0.031$).

Necromass was significantly higher in logged sites (87 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) than unlogged sites (49 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) on average (Fig. 2a). N/AGB also varied significantly due to logging (Fig. 2b), with an average N/AGB of 30% in logged and 18% in unlogged sites.

Of our forest structure variables, only AGB and the density of large trees (dbh > 60 cm) were significantly related to necromass (Table 2; Figs 3 and S2). Each

![Bivariate relationships between forest structure drivers of necromass (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and the ratio of necromass to AGB (N/AGB) in Gabon. Significant relationships are depicted by the presence of the regression line (solid line) and 95% confidence intervals (dotted lines). See Table 2 for regression statistics.](image)
additional large tree increased necromass by 2.4 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) on average. Although AGB and the density of large trees were highly correlated \((r = 0.76, \text{df} = 45, P < 0.001)\), the density of large trees at a site was a better predictor of necromass than the AGB of the site. There were no significant relationships between necromass and any of the three abiotic variables (Table 2; Fig. 4), or two edaphic types (two-way ANOVA; edaphic type: \(F_{2,43} = 1.637, P = 0.206\); logging: \(F_{1,43} = 7.121, P = 0.011\)). Unlike necromass, N/AGB was not significantly related to any of the forest structure variables, but was significantly related to MAP. N/AGB increases by 1.5% for every 100 mm increase in MAP.

The best multiple regression model of necromass included positive effects for logging history and the density of large trees; this model explained slightly more variation in necromass than a model substituting AGB for large trees. We present both models because measurements of AGB are more common than measurements of large stem density (Table 3). The best multiple regression model for N/AGB included positive effects for logging history and MAP (Table 3).

**National estimate of necromass stocks**

Using the mean necromass of all sites (65 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) and total forest area in Gabon (211 260 km\(^2\)), we estimated total deadwood stocks of 0.68 Pg C (deadwood\(_{\text{median}}\) = 0.60 Pg C). Stratifying by logged and unlogged forest, we estimated deadwood stocks of 0.24 Pg C (deadwood\(_{\text{median}}\) = 0.21 Pg C) in unlogged forests, 0.50 Pg C

---

**Fig. 4** Bivariate relationships between abiotic variables influencing necromass (Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) (a–c) and the ratio of necromass to AGB (N/AGB) (d–f) in Gabon. Significant relationships are depicted by the presence of the regression line (solid line) and confidence intervals (dotted lines). See Table 2 for regression statistics.
To our knowledge, this is the largest study of deadwood stocks in the tropics (47 sites and 36 km of line transects) and the first modern study focused on deadwood in Central Africa. Of all the drivers we examined, disturbance from selective logging most strongly influenced the deadwood pool, nearly doubling stocks relative to unlogged forests. Large trees (>60 cm dbh) played a secondary role in determining deadwood stocks; forests with many large trees contained higher necromass than those with fewer large trees.

Extrapolating from our sites to the entirety of Gabon, we estimate that the country holds 0.74 Pg of deadwood carbon, a substantial increase over the previous estimate of 0.20 Pg C (FAO, 2006). Gabonese forests store approximately 2.8 Pg C in live aboveground biomass (Saatchi et al., 2011); thus, deadwood contributes 21% of total (live and dead) aboveground forest carbon – an ecologically and, potentially, economically significant proportion of national carbon stocks.

Central African necromass and N/AGB estimates

It is assumed that the ecological roles of deadwood in tropical forests are similar to those in temperate forests (Stokland et al., 2012), but most studies in tropical forests focus on deadwood as a carbon pool, and most occur outside of Central Africa. This disparity is reflected in the only previous national estimate for Gabon of 9 Mg C ha$^{-1}$, which was based on the available field data at the time (FAO, 2006). A global study of forest carbon estimated deadwood carbon in ‘tropical Africa’ as 26.29 Mg C ha$^{-1}$, using a network of plots to estimate AGB and a deadwood–AGB ratio of 12.7% (Pan et al., 2011). By comparison, our estimate of 34.87 Mg C ha$^{-1}$ is 3.8 and 1.3 times higher than the earlier estimates, underscoring the importance of field measurements of deadwood and explicitly considering the effects of selective logging.

Previous estimates of Central African deadwood stocks come from four studies, only two after 1960, that are either limited in spatial extent or not focused on...
necromass. In Cameroon, Djomo et al. (2011) reported necromass of 5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in primary forest and 14.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in logged forests (assuming necromass is 50% carbon). In Monts Birougou National Park, Gabon, Gautam & Pietsch (2012) reported deadwood carbon for 18 plots, ranging from 8 to 62 Mg ha$^{-1}$ with a mean of 29 Mg ha$^{-1}$. Results from both of these studies tend to be lower than our results; but neither study employed standard methods used in recent tropical studies, which generally follow Keller et al. (2004) (e.g., see Palace et al., 2012), and both studies sampled a more limited area. The disparity in results among studies could be caused by different methodologies, including quantifying deadwood in small plots or using point sampling inventory method, or because of sampling extent (Djomo et al., 2011; Gautam & Pietsch, 2012).

Drivers of deadwood stocks

Logging strongly affected deadwood stocks across Gabon, confirming results of previous studies (Keller et al., 2004; Palace et al., 2007; Pfeifer et al., 2015). Logged sites in Gabon contained on average 38 Mg ha$^{-1}$ more necromass than unlogged sites. Simply knowing whether a site is logged facilitates the prediction of deadwood stocks, but additional information such as time since the site was logged, logging intensity, and frequency can further improve precision in necromass estimates (Pfeifer et al., 2015). Because logging techniques and intensities can vary among tropical regions, future work in Central Africa should integrate information on timber extraction into models for estimating deadwood stocks.

After disturbance history, AGB and the density of large trees were the best predictors of deadwood stocks in Gabon, whereas basal area-weighted live wood density was not a significant predictor. Our results partially agree with those of a meta-analysis of Amazonian deadwood stocks, which found that all three of the above factors predicted necromass (Chao et al., 2009). The Amazon has an east-to-west gradient in which eastern forests have larger trees, higher wood density, and more deadwood than western forests (Baker et al., 2004; Chao et al., 2009), so that the effect of stem size and wood density on deadwood stocks are not easily disentangled from each other. Our results show only a response to tree size, suggesting that input size is a more important driver of deadwood stocks than wood density.

As we hypothesized, temperature had very little effect on deadwood stocks in Gabon. The limited variation in temperature of the coldest quarter across our study sites (range of 3.6 °C, Table 1) is likely too small to have detectable effects on deadwood stocks. Alternatively, increasing temperatures in the tropics accelerate rates of both productivity and decomposition (Chambers et al., 2000; Raich et al., 2006), so these opposing drivers may cancel each other out. In contrast to temperature, precipitation varies widely in Gabon with an 1800 mm west-to-east precipitation gradient. Even so, mean annual precipitation also did not strongly influence deadwood stocks. In our study, however, N/AGB had a very small, positive relationship with MAP ($R^2 = 0.086$; Table 2), with a 1.5% increase in N/AGB for every 100 mm of precipitation. Precipitation in the tropics does not influence productivity (Malhi et al., 2004) or decomposition rates (Chambers et al., 2000); thus, outside of discrete extreme events such as severe droughts, deadwood stocks and N/AGB should be constant across a range of precipitation. Similar to our study, two landscape-scale studies also found no effect of temperature or precipitation on deadwood stocks (Martins et al., 2015; Pfeifer et al., 2015).

Comparison to the Neotropics

Central African forests might store less necromass than Neotropical forests because of a less intense disturbance regime, might store more necromass due to the presence of larger trees, or might have similar necromass if these drivers cancel each other. To understand this relationship, we compared our results to data from humid tropical forests in a recent review (Palace et al., 2012). Deadwood stocks were similar between the two regions, and stocks responded similarly to logging: logged sites contained significantly more necromass than unlogged sites in both regions, but necromass in logged and unlogged sites did not differ between regions (Fig. 5a). N/AGB, however, was significantly higher in the Neotropics than in Gabon even after removing five potential outliers (sites with N/AGB >200%), and N/AGB was not related to logging history in either region (Fig. 5b). We suspect that in the Amazon, greater rates of disturbance, in combination with higher decomposition rates due to the smaller size of the trees, lead to deadwood pool sizes similar to Central Africa. Greater disturbance in the Amazon is also consistent with the higher ratio of N/AGB in the Neotropics compared to the Afrotropics.

Implications to national management of carbon

Oil palm plantations represent an important and expanding land-use type in the tropics (Phalan et al., 2013). Gabon, like other Central African countries, plans to grow its oil palm plantations (M.E.L & L.J.T.W., National Land use Plan, cited in Burton et al., 2016), potentially releasing high levels of carbon.
emissions through land conversion. Burton et al. (2016) estimated that conversion of logged forest to a 31 800 ha palm plantation would release 1.50 Tg of aboveground C and recommended restricting plantation development to the lowest quartile of forest carbon densities. Using our deadwood ratio of 30% for logged forest, we estimate that this ‘agriculturally available’ land would store approximately 35 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) of deadwood. A more lenient standard, permitting development up to the second lowest quartile of carbon densities, would release 47 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) of deadwood. The emissions consequences of deadwood carbon depend on the fate of the deadwood (burned, cleared, bulldozed, etc.), but it is important to recognize that significant amounts of carbon exist outside of the aboveground biomass pool and must be considered in policy and land management decisions.

Accurate estimation of forest carbon pools is a key component of the IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas (GHG) inventories. The most accurate GHG inventory approach uses regional models parameterized with country-specific data, coupled with a national forest inventory (Birdsey et al., 2013). Few countries have the capacity to implement this approach, and instead rely on regional default values of carbon stocks provided by the IPCC. However, citing lack of data, the IPCC does not provide regional estimates for deadwood (see Table 2.2 in IPCC, 2006). As we have discussed above, lack of data-based regional default values can lead to significant underestimation of these carbon stocks. In total, employing the FAO value would underestimate total aboveground biomass (live plus dead) by more than 15%. Although we advocate using separate ratios for logged and unlogged forest, we recognize that accurate assessments of the extent of selectively logged forest is often not available. Therefore, we provide an estimate of 32.5 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) for average deadwood density across all forests. This represents the first systematic, regional estimate of tropical deadwood stocks that can be applied to Central African GHG inventories.

Forest degradation from selective logging can damage vegetation, reduce ecosystem function, and impact biodiversity (Asner et al., 2005). We show that selective logging also results in significantly higher deadwood pools, nearly twice those in unlogged forests – the signature of logging is the most important and consistent driver of deadwood stocks in Gabon. A similar finding in the Neotropics suggests this is a global pattern in humid tropical forests. We therefore recommend that national carbon inventories in Central Africa account for selectively logged forests, using default values of 43.5 and 24.5 Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) for logged and unlogged forests, respectively. When estimating necromass as a ratio of biomass, inventories should also use two separate ratios, one for logged forests (30%) and one for unlogged forests (18%). Deadwood makes up an important fraction of tropical forest carbon stocks, but its contribution varies with land-use activities and trees size, highlighting the need to study the drivers of carbon stocks for accurate global carbon accounting and effective climate change mitigation.
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